UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/596,333	06/09/2006	Alexander Kehrmann	KEHRMANN-4	5389
	7590 07/09/200 EREISEN, LLC	EXAMINER		
HENRY M FEI	EREISEN	ABU ALI, SHUANGYI		
708 THIRD AV SUITE 1501	708 THIRD AVENUE SUITE 1501		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
NEW YORK, NY 10017			1793	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			07/09/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/596,333	KEHRMANN, ALEXANDER			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	SHUANGYI ABU ALI	1793			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
Responsive to communication(s) filed on 18 Ma This action is FINAL . 2b)☑ This Since this application is in condition for allowant closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro				
Disposition of Claims					
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-25 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 1-14 and 19-24 is/are 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 15-18 and 25 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r				
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access applicant may not request that any objection to the confidence of th	epted or b) objected to by the Edrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See on is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). lected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 					
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 12/27/2006.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	nte			

Application/Control Number: 10/596,333 Page 2

Art Unit: 1793

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Applicant's election with traverse of Group II, claims 15-18 and 25 in the reply filed on03/18/2009 is acknowledged. The traversal is on the ground(s) that the ferrous sulfate under trademark Ferromel-20 has an oxide layer. This is not found persuasive because the teaching of '691 discloses that the chromate reducing agent is FeSO₄. nH₂O and the instant application recites the transitional term "comprising", which is inclusive or open-ended and does not exclude additional, unrecited elements or method steps.

The requirement is still deemed proper and is therefore made FINAL.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* **v.** *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

- 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
- 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
- 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.

4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

Claims 15-18 and 25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over DE10014468 to Kehrmann, in view of U. S. patent No. 4784691 to Rasmussen.

Regarding claims 15-16 and 25, Kehrmann discloses a composition comprising FeSO₄.7H₂O and limestone, which is an mineral acid modifier as applicant set froth in claim 25 (Page 3, lines 1-14). But he is silent about the using of FeSO₄.H₂O as applicant set forth in the instant application.

However, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention by applicant to use FeSO₄.H₂O in the cement composition, motivated by the fact that Rasmussen, also drawn to cement composition, disclose that FeSO₄.nH₂O (n is in the range of 1-7), is used to reduce the content of the chromate in the cement composition and to obtain health working environment (col. 1, lines 41-47).

Regarding claim 17, kehrmann discloses that the amount of the $FeSO_4.7H_2O$ is about 0.01-3% by weight of the cement and the limestone amount is in the range of 0.5-15% by weight of the $FeSO_4.7H_2O$.

Regarding claim 18, Kehrmann discloses that the amount of the FeSO₄.7H₂O is about 0.01-3% by weight of the cement. Rasmussen discloses the chromate reducer amount is in the range of 0.01-1%, therefore the amount of the FeSO₄.H₂O can be in the range of 0-0.99%. When the amount of the FeSO₄.H₂O and FeSO₄.7H₂O is both in the range of 0.5%, the ratio of FeSO₄.H₂O to FeSO₄.7H₂O is 1.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to SHUANGYI ABU ALI whose telephone number is (571)272-6453. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jerry Lorengo can be reached on 571-272-1233. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/J.A. LORENGO/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1793